Serial No.: 10/708,146

Amendment B

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:

The attached sheets of drawings includes changes to FIG. 1 and FIG. 24. Replacement

sheet 1 of 2, which includes FIG. 1 and FIG. 2, replaces the original sheet including FIG. 1 and

FIG. 2. In FIG. 1, previously omitted element label 10 has been added and the typo has been

corrected. Replacement sheet 2 of 2, which includes FIG. 23 and FIG. 24, replaces the original

sheet including FIG. 23 and FIG. 24. In FIG. 24, the arrows for the element labels 532, 530 and

528 have been corrected.

Attachments: Replacement sheets of drawings

Annotated sheets of drawings showing changes.

34

Serial No.: 10/708,146

Amendment B

REMARKS

Responsive to the Examiner's finding of a defective Declaration. The perceived strike-

out alterations are in fact not strike-outs and are not intended as such, but the stray lines must

have occurred during the electronic filing process or in the scanning/copying process. The zip

codes and country for Inventors eleven and thirteen remain as originally typed with no

alterations. Responsive to the drawing objections, either the drawing has been amended as noted

herein and/or the specification has been amended to overcome the objections. Paragraphs of the

specification have been amended as noted herein to address the formality objection. No new

matter has been added by the drawing amendments or the specification amendments. Claims 1 –

61 are pending in the present application and all claims stand objected to and/or rejected for

multiple reasons. Responsive to the objections and rejections, amendments to the claims have

been made as set forth herein.

Specifically claims 1, 2,18, 20, 22, 25, 34, 37, and 48 – 61 have been amended as noted

herein to overcome the objections for informalities related to antecedent basis.

Regarding the 112 rejections to claims 6, 22, 36, 50, 56, and 59 relating to the use of the

term "zero tolerance", the term "zero tolerance" is a term well known by those skilled in the art

area and is a term originating from standards in the industry such as for example the ISO 9000

standard series. Thus, no further definition is required. The term refers to a requirement that has

no latitude for tolerance. For example in the food industry, certain type of contamination is

35

STLD01-1284930-1

Serial No.: 10/708,146

Amendment B

wholly unacceptable even in small quantities. A specific example is severance of spinal cord

material in the red meat processing industry.

Regarding the 112 rejections for vague and indefinite to claims 5, 4-18, 26-29, 33, 36, 38

-40, 44, 45, 54, 55 and 59, claims 44, 45 and 59 have been cancelled. The remaining claims

rejected in the paragraph have been amended as noted herein to overcome the vague and

indefiniteness rejection.

Regarding the non-statutory rejections under 101 for claims 1-32, 48-54 and 58, the

claims have been amended adding the step of correlating has been specifically recited and an

additional step of displaying the correlating data has been added to overcome the 101 rejection.

Regarding the anticipation and obvious rejection under 102 and 103 each of the

independent claims recites correlating product quality control measurement data to at least one

part. None of the references taken individually or collectively anticipate, teach or suggest this

limitation in combination with the other limitations, thus the references cited do not anticipate or

render obvious the independent claims or the dependent claims depending therefrom.

The Applicant respectfully asserts that the application as amended is in condition for

allowance including all remaining claims and respectfully request that all remaining claims be

36

allow to proceed to issuance. Specifically the informalities have been corrected and the

references cited do not anticipate or render obvious the invention as claimed.

STLD01-1284930-1

Serial No.: 10/708,146

Amendment B

If any issue regarding the allowability of any of the pending claims in the present

application could be readily resolved, or if other action could be taken to further advance this

application such as an Examiner's amendment, or if the Examiner should have any questions

regarding the present amendment, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner please telephone

Applicant's undersigned attorney in this regard.

Date: Jan 11, 07

Mark E. Stallion

Reg. No. 46,132

Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin LLP

720 Olive Street, Suite 2400

St. Louis, MO 63101

Respectfully submitted,

314-345-6000

ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT